UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/532,374	04/21/2005	Jay A Berzofsky	4239-67016-02	4276
36218 7590 07/25/2008 KLARQUIST SPARKMAN, LLP			EXAMINER	
121 S.W. SALN			HUFF, SHEELA JITENDRA	
SUITE #1600 PORTLAND, O	OR 97204-2988		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1643	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/25/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/532,374	BERZOFSKY ET AL.	
Examiner	A ( 11 1)	
Examiner	Art Unit	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the	cover sheet with the correspondence address	
THE REPLY FILED <u>14 July 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION	IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with application to Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment o an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places peal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Requ	s the
periods:  a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the	final rejection	
b) The period for reply expiresmorths from the mailing date of the b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action on event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY C MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	on, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is late K MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened state forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three remay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension atutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (	n fee (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension there Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the tin</li> </ol>	of (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Si	
AMENDMENTS  3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to  (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration  (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal; and/or	appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for	r
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a correspond NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	ing number of finally rejected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See att	ached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).	_
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): the rejection		
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if s non-allowable claim(s).		the
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)  will not how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		of
Claim(s) allowed: <u>39-45</u> . Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,6-11,13-18,21,26-28,32-34 and 38</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).		
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was it	II rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide	: a
10.  ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the sta REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	tus of the claims after entry is below or attached.	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NO Applicant argues that the Rosenblum and the Barbera-Guillem references were cited to show that antibodes that are used to treat argues to the distinction between treating a tumor and inhibiting ture.	rences teach antibodes binding to different antigens. The cancer are known to treat tumor recurrence. Applicant's	<u>ese</u>
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/0 13. Other:		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
/SI	heela J Huff/	
	imary Examiner	
Δr	t I Init · 1643	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)